DEL NORTE COUNTY SOLID WASTE TASK FORCE
Meeting Agenda

Monday, November 10, 2014, 5:15 - 7:00 PM
Del Norte Solid Waste Management Authority Conference Room
1700 State Street
Crescent City, CA

The Del Norte Solid Waste Task Force is now meeting in Regular Session. Only those items that indicate a specific time will be heard at the assigned time. All other items may be taken out of sequence to accommodate public and staff availability.

ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION:

1. Call to order

2. Discussion and possible action regarding approval of minutes of the October 13th, 2014 Del Norte Task Force Meeting. **

3. Public comment. Any member of the public is welcome to discuss any subject relating to solid waste issues in Del Norte County. Public comment is limited to three (3) minutes.

4. Discussion and possible action regarding the Solid Waste Task Force items on the 25 November 2014 meeting of the Del Norte County Board of Supervisors including Bylaws and applications for appointment to the Del Norte Solid Waste Task Force. **

5. Continuing discussion regarding the Electronic Annual Report submitted to CalRecycle. **

6. Discussion and possible action regarding the process and schedule for completing the five-year review of the Regional Agency Integrated Waste Management Plan for Del Norte County and the City of Crescent City. **

7. Adjournment. Adjourn to the next meeting of the Del Norte County Solid Waste Task Force scheduled for 5:15 PM December 8, 2014.

** indicates attachments

DEL NORTE COUNTY SOLID WASTE TASK FORCE
Meeting Minutes

Monday, October 13, 2014, 5:15 - 7:00 PM
Del Norte Solid Waste Management Authority Conference Room
1700 State Street
Crescent City, CA

Present:	Wes Nunn Joel Wallen Richard Miles, Chair Andy Larson Mary Wilson
Absent:	Patricia Black (excused) Ralph Dickey (excused) Brad Cass
Also Present:	Tedd Ward, Acting Director

ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION:

1. Call to order. Chair Miles called the meeting to order at 5:34 PM.

2. Discussion and possible action regarding approval of minutes of the September 8th, 2014 Del Norte Task Force Meeting. Wes Nunn moved and Andy Larson seconded a motion to approve the minutes. Adopted by a unanimous vote.

3. Public comment. Any member of the public is welcome to discuss any subject relating to solid waste issues in Del Norte County. Public comment is limited to three (3) minutes. No public comment.

4. Discussion and possible action regarding the Bylaws of the Del Norte Solid Waste Task Force. Joel Wallen moved and Mary Wilson seconded a motion to adopt the By-laws as presented, with the change that item number 1 of the Purposes is to state: 'Identify solid waste management issues of countywide or regional concern.' The motion passed unanimously.

5. Discussion and possible action regarding two applications received by the Del Norte County Board of Supervisors regarding vacancies on the Del Norte County Solid Waste Task Force. Mary Wilson moved that the Task Force ask that the open period to apply for the Task Force appointments be extended through December 19th, 2014. Wes Nunn seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

6. Discussion and possible action regarding the status of Authority Ordinances 2008-01, 2008-02, 2008-03 and 2009-01, 2014-01, and 2014-02. Chair Miles wanted to inform the Task Force about the City Council's lack of action on this issue, with Councilman Enea making the motion and not obtaining a second, leaving the Authority's Ordinances from 2008 and 2009 in force. This item was tabled to the next meeting.

7. Continuing discussion regarding the Electronic Annual Report submitted to CalRecycle. Acting Director Ward reviewed programs through 7000-FR-MRF, and will continue at the next meeting. Mr. Miles said he felt the Authority should do more outreach, especially to the children. Discussion followed.

8. Discussion and possible action regarding the process and schedule for completing the five-year review of the Regional Agency Integrated Waste Management Plan for Del Norte County and the City of Crescent City. This item was tabled to the next meeting.

9. Adjournment. Chair Miles adjourned the meeting at 6:42 P.M. to the next meeting of the Del Norte County Solid Waste Task Force scheduled for 5:15 PM November 10, 2014.

Respectfully submitted,

Tedd Ward
Acting Director / Program Manager
Del Norte Solid Waste Management Authority
Serving as secretary to the Del Norte Solid Waste Task Force

Del Norte Solid Waste Management Authority

1700 State Street, Crescent City, CA 95531
Phone (707) 465-1100 Fax (707) 465-1300
www.recycledelnorte.ca.gov

The Authority's mission is the management of Del Norte County solid waste and recyclable material in an environmentally sound, cost effective, efficient and safe manner while ensuring 100% regulatory compliance with law.

Solid Waste Authority Staff Report

Date:	**24 October 2014**
To:	**Del Norte County Board of Supervisors**
From:	**Tedd Ward, M.S. – Acting Director / Program Manager Del Norte Solid Waste Management Authority**
File Number:	**200101 – Del Norte Solid Waste Task Force**
Attachment:	**By-Laws of the Del Norte Solid Waste Task Force**
Topic:	**Del Norte Solid Waste Task Force By-Laws and Vacancies**

Summary / Recommendation: That the Del Norte County Board of Supervisors take the following actions as recommended by the Del Norte Solid Waste Task Force:

1. Affirm that from this point forward, the number of members of appointees to the Del Norte Solid Waste Task Force will be nine.
2. Ratify the attached updated By-Laws as approved by the Del Norte Solid Waste Task Force on 13 October 2014.
3. Extend the application deadline for additional appointees to the Del Norte Solid Waste Task Force through 19 December 2014.

Background: The primary responsibilities of the Del Norte Solid Waste Task Force pertain to the preparation, revision, and implementation of the Regional Agency Integrated Waste Management Plan (RAIWMP). The RAIWMP includes the following elements and components:

- The Source Reduction and Recycling Element (SRRE, which has many sub-parts, or components), including:
  - The Source Reduction Component
  - The Recycling Component
  - The Composting Component
  - The Education and Public Information Component
  - The Special Waste Component
  - The Funding Component

4

- The Household Hazardous Waste Element (HHWE)
- The Nondisposal Facility Element and
- Countywide Siting Element (for disposal facilities)

Together, the elements of these plans describe the programs the Authority has implemented in Del Norte to cut its waste in half on a per capita basis since the year 1990. The plan also describes where the waste which cannot be prevented, composted, or recycled will be disposed, and which of these programs the Authority intends to continue to meet the on-going requirements of these laws. These plans are quite detailed, and describe when and how all programs are to be implemented and paid for.

In addition to its specific legislated role, the Del Norte Solid Waste Management Authority has considered the advice of the Del Norte Solid Waste Task Force (also referred to as the Local Task Force or LTF) on policy options for developing Authority programs and services, including service provisions of the Collections Franchise, regional recycling infrastructure development, and public education and outreach strategies. The Del Norte Solid Waste Task Force has also convened public forums to receive public comments on solid waste and recycling issues of public concern and so that such comments regarding its programs and services may be considered by the Authority Board.

The DNSWTF is primarily an advisory body, and there does not appear to be a mechanism by which the DNSWTF can enforce its decisions or implement its recommendations.

Analysis: LTF members may be appointed by a majority vote of the Board of Supervisors, with those appointment ratified by the City Council. The City Council may also appoint members, subject to the County Board of Supervisors approval. The Del Norte Solid Waste Task Force has had up to 13 members, but currently has 8.

The Solid Waste Task Force is requesting that their By-laws be updated to clarify how absences from Task Force meetings can lead to removal from the LTF, and clarifying who may serve as recording Secretary for LTF meetings.

At their 13 October 2014 meeting, the LTF reviewed the two applications for appointment, and voted to request that the application period be extended.

Alternatives: The Board of Supervisors could take any of the following actions:

1. Take no action. This would leave the Task Force Bylaws unchanged, the number of members on the Task Force unspecified, and the applications for new Task Force members pending.
2. The Board of Supervisors could set a different number of members for the Del Norte Solid Waste Task Force, such as 11 or 13. An odd number of members is recommended to reduce the potential for tie votes.

Fiscal Impact: Minimal. There will be a small cost to publish notices in the Del Norte Triplicate about the extended application period.

DEL NORTE COUNTY SOLID WASTE TASK FORCE
By-Laws

NAME: **The name of this organization shall be the Del Norte County Solid Waste Task Force (and also referred to as the 'Local Task Force' or LTF)**

PURPOSE: The LTF will do all of the following:

1. Identify solid waste management issued of countywide or regional concern.
2. Determine the need for solid waste collection and transfer systems, processing facilities, and marketing strategies that can serve more than one jurisdiction in the region.
3. Facilitate multi-jurisdictional arrangements for marketing recyclable materials.
4. Facilitate resolution of conflicts and inconsistencies between City and County Source Reduction and Recycling Elements (SRREs).

The LTF will develop goals, policies and procedures consistent with the state mandate to maximize waste prevention, recycling and composting, and provide environmentally safe incineration or landfilling.of wastes which cannot be prevented, recycled or composted. Through these goals, policies and procedures, the LTF guides the development of the Siting Element of the Countywide Integrated waste Management plan.

The LTF will review and comment on the Nondisposal Facility Element. Comments by the LTF shall include an assessment of the regional impacts of the facility on potential diversion (i.e. waste prevention, recycling or composting) within the community.

MEMBERSHIP: May include representatives of the solid waste industry, environmental organizations, the general public, special districts and affected governmental agencies, who must be appointed by a majority vote of the Board of Supervisors and approved by the City Council. LTF members shall serve at the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors.

OFFICERS: Shall consist of a Chairperson and a Vice Chairperson, with each officer serving for a period of one year, unless they are re-elected.

SECRETARY: At the same meeting that elects Officers, the Chairperson of the Local Task Force will appoint a secretary to the LTF who is familiar with the Brown Act, Rosenberg's Rules of Order and other relevant meeting procedures. The person appointed to serve as LTF Secretary may be a staffperson of the Del Norte Solid Waste Management Authority or a

member of the Del Norte County Solid Waste Task Force. Appointment of the Secretary must be ratified by a majority vote of the LTF.

ATTENDANCE: Attendance at all LTF meetings is mandatory for all appointed members. A member who misses three consecutive meetings without having notified Authority staff or the Chairperson will be dropped from membership. If a member misses six meetings in a year, such a member may be dropped from membership by a majority vote of the Task Force. Meeting minutes will reflect if absent members were excused or not.

MEETINGS: Rosenberg's Rules of Order shall govern the conduct of each meeting.

QUORUM: A quorum at each meeting is attained by the attendance of one-half the membership, plus one.

DISSOLUTION & FORMATION: The LTF shall be dissolved and reconvened on March 1, 2000 and each five years thereafter in accordance with PRC Section 40950(a), as amended.

Respectfully submitted for consideration of the Del Norte County Board of Supervisors.

Adopted by the Del Norte County Solid Waste Task Force on September 8th, 2014.

AYE: Nunn, Black, Wallen, Miles, Dickey, Wilson, Larson
NO: None.
ABSTAIN: None.
ABSENT: Cass

_____
Tedd Ward, M.S.
Secretary, Del Norte County Solid Waste Task Force
Acting Director / Program Manager
Del Norte Solid Waste Management Authority

Approved by the Del Norte County Board of Supervisors on November __, 2014.

AYE:
NO:
ABSTAIN:
ABSENT:

Jurisdiction Notes

6000-PI-PLB (Product and Landfill Bans)

Current Status: AO - Alternative and Ongoing
Program Start Year: 1996
Report Year Diversion Tons: 0.00
Existed before 1990: No
Selected in SRRE: No
Owned or Operated: Yes

Jurisdiction Notes

All locally-implemented disposal or product bans are those that have been adopted as State laws.

6010-PI-EIN (Economic Incentives)

Current Status: SO - Selected and Ongoing
Program Start Year: 1996
Report Year Diversion Tons: 0.00
Existed before 1990: No
Selected in SRRE: Yes
Owned or Operated: Yes

Selected Program Details: Deposit | Differential tipping fee | Rebate | Unlimited recycling | Variable can rate/Quantity based user fee

Jurisdiction Notes

In addition to prior entries, as a certified used oil recycling center, the Del Norte County Transfer Station will pay $0.40 per gallon of uncontaminated used motor oil. Julindra Recycling provides CRV redemption payments for beverage containers.

6020-PI-ORD (Ordinances)

Current Status: SO - Selected and Ongoing
Program Start Year: 2008
Report Year Diversion Tons: 0.00
Existed before 1990: No
Selected in SRRE: No
Owned or Operated: Yes

Selected Program Details: Antiscavenging ordinance | Other ordinances (describe below)

Jurisdiction Notes

Del Norte County and the City of Crescent City engaged in efforts in 2013 to incorporate useful elements from the Authority's Ordinances for adoption and implementation by these agencies. In August 2014 these efforts had not yet been completed.

7000-FR-MRF (MRF)

Current Status: SO - Selected and Ongoing
Program Start Year: 1990
Report Year Diversion Tons: 31.50
Existed before 1990: No
Selected in SRRE: Yes
Owned or Operated: Yes

Jurisdiction Notes

7010-FR-LAN (Landfill)

Current Status: DE - Dropped in an earlier year

Program Start Year: 1995
Report Year Diversion Tons: 0.00

Existed before 1990: No
Selected in SRRE: Yes
Owned or Operated: Yes

Jurisdiction Notes

7020-FR-TST (Transfer Station)

Current Status: SO - Selected and Ongoing

Program Start Year: 1995
Report Year Diversion Tons: 13.00

Existed before 1990: No
Selected in SRRE: Yes
Owned or Operated: Yes

Jurisdiction Notes

7030-FR-CMF (Composting Facility)

Current Status: PF - Planned in Future

Program Start Year: 2012
Report Year Diversion Tons: 0.00

Existed before 1990: No
Selected in SRRE: Yes
Owned or Operated: No

Jurisdiction Notes

The fire at the (445 Elk Valley Road) Hambro plant in 2013 effectively closed that facility as a location to process yard debris received at the Del Norte County Transfer Station. DNMSWA Board has not given direction to develop a new facility in Del Norte County to process yard debris.

7040-FR-ADC (Alternative Daily Cover)

Current Status: DE - Dropped in an earlier year

Program Start Year: 1994
Report Year Diversion Tons: 0.00

Existed before 1990: No
Selected in SRRE: No
Owned or Operated: Yes

Jurisdiction Notes

8010-TR-BIO (Biomass)

Current Status: SO - Selected and Ongoing

Program Start Year: 1991
Report Year Diversion Tons: 1019.50

Existed before 1990: Yes
Selected in SRRE: Yes
Owned or Operated: Yes

Jurisdiction Notes

9000-HH-PMF (Permanent Facility)

Current Status: SO - Selected and Ongoing | Program Start Year: 2005 | Report Year Diversion Tons: 33.44 | Existed before 1990: No | Selected in SRRE: Yes | Owned or Operated: Yes

Jurisdiction Notes

9010-HH-MPC (Mobile or Periodic Collection)

Current Status: SO - Selected and Ongoing | Program Start Year: 1993 | Report Year Diversion Tons: 8.32 | Existed before 1990: No | Selected in SRRE: Yes | Owned or Operated: Yes

Jurisdiction Notes

9030-HH-WSE (Waste Exchange)

Current Status: SO - Selected and Ongoing | Program Start Year: 1990 | Report Year Diversion Tons: 9.60 | Existed before 1990: Yes | Selected in SRRE: Yes | Owned or Operated: Yes

Jurisdiction Notes

9040-HH-EDP (Education Programs)

Current Status: AO - Alternative and Ongoing | Program Start Year: 1997 | Report Year Diversion Tons: 0.00 | Existed before 1990: No | Selected in SRRE: No | Owned or Operated: Yes

Jurisdiction Notes

9045-HH-EWA (Electronic Waste)

Current Status: AO - Alternative and Ongoing | Program Start Year: 2005 | Report Year Diversion Tons: 98.90 | Existed before 1990: No | Selected in SRRE: No | Owned or Operated: Yes

Jurisdiction Notes

9050-HH-OTH (Other HHW)

Current Status: AO - Alternative and Ongoing	Program Start Year: 2009 Report Year Diversion Tons: 8.40	Existed before 1990: No Selected in SRRE: No Owned or Operated: Yes

Jurisdiction Notes

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CalRecycle 709 (Rev. 03/12)

DEPARTMENT OF RESOURCES RECYCLING AND RECOVERY (CalRecycle)

Five-Year CIWMP/RAIWMP Review Report Template

Public Resources Code (PRC) Sections 41770 and 41822, and Title 14, California Code of Regulations (CCR) Section 18788 require that each countywide or regional agency integrated waste management plan (CIWMP or RAIWMP), and the elements thereof, be reviewed, revised if necessary, and submitted to the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle) every five years. CalRecycle developed this Five-Year CIWMP/RAIWMP Review Report template to streamline the Five-Year CIWMP/RAIWMP review, reporting, and approval process.

A county or regional agency may use this template to document its compliance with these regulatory review and reporting requirements and as a tool in its review, including obtaining Local Task Force (LTF) comments on areas of the CIWMP or RAIWMP that need revision, if any. This template also can be finalized based on these comments and submitted to CalRecycle as the county or regional agency's Five-Year CIWMP or RAIWMP Review Report.

The Five-Year CIWMP/RAIWMP Review Report Template Instructions describe each section and provide general guidelines with respect to preparing the report. Completed and signed reports should be submitted to the CalRecycle's Local Assistance & Market Development (LAMD) Branch at the address below. Upon report receipt, LAMD staff may request clarification and/or additional information if the details provided in the report are not clear or are not complete. Within 90 days of receiving a *complete* Five–Year CIWMP/RAIWMP Review Report, LAMD staff will review the report and prepare their findings for CalRecycle consideration for approval.

If you have any questions about the Five–Year CIWMP/RAIWMP Review Report process or how to complete this template, please contact your LAMD representative at (916) 341-6199. Mail the completed and signed Five-Year CIWMP/RAIWMP Review Report to:

Dept. of Resources Recycling & Recovery
Local Assistance & Market Development, MS-25
P. O. Box 4025
Sacramento, CA 95812-4025

To edit & customize this template, the editing restrictions (filling in forms) must be disengaged. Select the Review tab, Protect Document, and then Restrict Formatting and Editing (uncheck editing restrictions). There is no password (options). Please contact your LAMD representative at (916) 341-6199 with related questions.

General Instructions: Please complete Sections 1 through 7, and all other applicable subsections. Double click on shaded text/areas ( ) to select or add text.

SECTION 1.0 COUNTY OR REGIONAL AGENCY INFORMATION

I certify that the information in this document is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that I am authorized to complete this report and request approval of the CIWMP or RAIWMP Five-Year Review Report on behalf of:

County or Regional Agency Name			County(s) [if a RAIWMP Review Report]
Del Norte Solid Waste Management Authority			Del Norte
Authorized Signature	Title: Acting Director		
Type/Print Name of Person Signing: Tedd Ward	Date	Phone: ( ) -	
Person Completing This Form (please print or type): Tedd Ward	Title: Acting Director	Phone: (707) 465-1100	
Mailing Address: 1700 State Street	City: Crescent City	State: CA	Zip: 95531
E-mail Address: tedd@recycledelnorte.ca.gov			

6

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Description	Page
2.0	BACKGROUND	3
3.0	LOCAL TASK FORCE REVIEW	3
4.0	TITLE 14, CALIFORNIA CODE of REGULATIONS SECTION 18788 (3) (A) THROUGH (H) ISSUES	3
4.1	Changes in Demographics in the County or Regional Agency	3
4.2	Changes in Quantities of Waste within the County or Regional Agency; and Changes in Permitted Disposal Capacity and Quantities of Waste Disposed in the County or Regional Agency	4
4.3	Changes in Funding Source for Administration of the Siting Element and Summary Plan	6
4.4	Changes in Administrative Responsibilities	6
4.5	Programs that were Scheduled to be Implemented but were not	7
4.6	Changes in Available Markets for Recyclable Materials	8
4.7	Changes in the Implementation Schedule	8
5.0	OTHER ISSUES (optional)	9
6.0	ANNUAL REPORT REVIEW	9
7.0	REVISION SCHEDULE	9

SECTION 2.0 BACKGROUND

This is the regional agency's third Five–Year Review Report since the approval of the RAIWMP.

The following changes have occurred since the approval of the regional agency's planning documents or the last Five-Year RAIWMPReview Report (whichever is most recent):

- ☐ Diversion goal reduction
- ☐ New regional agency
- ☐ Changes to regional agency
- ☐ New city (name(s) _____)
- ☐ Other _____

Additional Information (optional)

SECTION 3.0 LOCAL TASK FORCE REVIEW

a. In accordance with Title 14 CCR, Section 18788, the Local Task Force (LTF) reviewed each element and plan included in the RAIWMP and finalized its comments
☐ at the _____ LTF meeting. ☐ electronically (fax, e-mail) ☐ other (Explain): _____

b. The regional agency received the written comments from the LTF on _____.

c. A copy of the LTF comments
☐ is included as Appendix _____.
☐ was submitted to CalRecycle on _____.

SECTION 4.0 TITLE 14, CALIFORNIA CODE of REGULATIONS SECTION 18788 (3) (A) THROUGH (H)

The subsections below address not only the areas of change specified in the regulations, but also provide specific analyses regarding the continued adequacy of the planning documents in light of those changes, including a determination on any need for revision to one or more of the planning documents.

Section 4.1 Changes in Demographics in the County or Regional Agency

When preparing the CIWMP Review Report, the county or regional agency must address at least the changes in demographics.

The following resources are provided to facilitate this analysis:

1. Demographic data, including population, taxable sales, employment, and consumer price index by jurisdiction for years up to 2006, are available at: http://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/LGCentral/Tools/DivMeasure/JuAdjFac.asp. Data for years beyond 2006 can be found on their following websites:
   - Population: Department of Finance
   - Taxable Sales: Board of Equalization

- Employment: Employment Development Department
- Consumer Price Index: Department of Industrial Relations

2. The Demographic Research Unit of the California Department of Finance is designated as the single official source of demographic data for State planning and budgeting (e.g., find E-5 City/County Population and Housing Estimates under Reports and Research Papers and then Estimates).

3. The Department of Finance's Demographic Research Unit also provides a list of State Census Data Center Network Regional Offices.

Analysis

Upon review of demographic changes since 2010:[1]

☒ The demographic changes since the development of the CIWMP do not warrant a revision to any of the regionwide planning documents. Specifically, _____.

☐ These demographic changes since the development of the CIWMP warrant a revision to one or more of the countywide planning documents. Specifically, _____. See the revision schedule in Section 7.

Additional Analysis (optional)

Section 4.2 Changes in Quantities of Waste within the County or Regional Agency; and Changes in Permitted Disposal Capacity and Waste Disposed in the County or Regional Agency

A number of tools to facilitate the analysis and review of such changes in the waste stream are available from the following CalRecycle sources:

1. Various statewide, regional, and local disposal reports are available at http://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/LGCentral/Reports/DRS/Default.aspx.
   a. CalRecycle's Disposal Reporting System tracks and reports the annual estimates of the disposal amounts for jurisdictions in California; additional California solid waste statistics are also available.
   b. CalRecycle's Waste Flow by Destination or Origin reports include solid waste disposal, export, and alternative daily cover. They show how much waste was produced within the boundaries of an individual city, or within all of the cities comprising a county or regional agency. These data also cover what was disposed at a particular facility or at all facilities within a county or regional agency.
2. The Waste Characterization Database provides estimates of the types and amounts of materials in the waste streams of individual California jurisdictions in 1999.
3. CalRecycle's Countywide, Regionwide, and Statewide Jurisdiction Diversion Progress Report provides both summary and detailed information on compliance, diversion rates/50

[1] The year of the data included in the planning documents, which is generally 1990 or 1991.

percent equivalent per capita disposal target and rates, and waste diversion program implementation for all California jurisdictions. Diversion program implementation summaries are also available at http://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/LGCentral/Tools/PARIS/jurpgmsu.asp and http://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/LGCentral/Reports/DiversionProgram/jurhist.aspx.

Together, these reports help illustrate changes in the quantities of waste within the county or regional agency as well as in permitted disposal capacity. This information also summarizes each jurisdiction's progress in implementing the Source Reduction and Recycling Element (SRRE) and complying with the 50percent diversion rate requirement (now calculated as the 50 percent equivalent per capita disposal target), see Per Capita Disposal and Goal Measurement (2007 and Later) for details.

☒ The county or regional agency (if it includes the entire county) continues to have adequate disposal capacity (i.e., equal to or greater than 15 years).
☐ The county does not have 15 years remaining disposal capacity within its physical boundaries, but the Siting Element does provide a strategy[2] for obtaining 15 years remaining disposal capacity.
☐ The county does not have 15 years remaining disposal capacity and the Siting Element does not provide a strategy[2] for obtaining 15 years remaining disposal capacity. Attached is a revision schedule for the Siting Element (Section 7).

Analysis

☒ These changes in quantities of waste and changes in permitted disposal capacity since the development of the RAIWMP do not warrant a revision to any of the regionwide planning documents. Specifically, .

☐ These changes in quantities of waste and changes in permitted disposal capacity since the development of the CIWMP warrant a revision to one or more of the planning documents. Specifically, _____. The revision schedule(s) is included in Section 7.

Additional Analysis (optional)

Section 4.3 Changes in Funding Source for Administration of the Siting Element (SE) and Summary Plan (SP)

Since the approval of the CIWMP or the last Five-Year RAIWMP Review Report (whichever is most recent), the county experienced the following significant changes in funding for the SE or SP:

- _____

[2] Such a strategy includes a description of the diversion or export programs to be implemented to address the solid waste capacity needs. The description shall identify the existing solid waste disposal facilities, including those outside of the county or regional agency, which will be used to implement these programs. The description should address how the proposed programs shall provide the county or regional agency with sufficient disposal capacity to meet the required minimum of 15 years of combined permitted disposal capacity.

Analysis

☒ There have been no significant changes in funding for administration of the SE and SP or the changes that have occurred do not warrant a revision to any of the regionwide planning documents. Specifically, _____.

☐ These changes in funding for the administration of the SE and SP warrant a revision to one or more of the countywide planning documents. Specifically, _____. See Section 7 for the revision schedule(s).

Additional Analysis (optional)

_____

Section 4.4 Changes in Administrative Responsibilities

The regional agency experienced significant changes in the following administrative responsibilities since the approval of the CIWMP or the last Five-Year RAIWMP Review Report (whichever is most recent):

- _____

Analysis

☒ There have been no significant changes in administrative responsibilities or the changes in administrative responsibilities do not warrant a revision to any of the planning documents. Specifically, _____.

☐ These changes in administrative responsibilities warrant a revision to one or more of the planning documents. Specifically, _____. See Section 7 for the revision schedule(s).

Additional Analysis (optional)

_____

Section 4.5 Programs that Were Scheduled to Be Implemented, But Were Not

This section addresses programs that were scheduled to be implemented, but were not; why they were not implemented; the progress of programs that were implemented; a statement as to whether programs are meeting their goals; and if not, what contingency measures are being enacted to ensure compliance with Public Resources Code Section 41751.

1. Progress of Program Implementation
   a. SRRE and Household Hazardous Waste Element (HHWE)
      ☒ All program implementation information has been updated in the CalRecycle Electronic Annual Report (EAR), including the reason for not implementing specific programs, if applicable.
      ☐ All program implementation information has not been updated in the EAR. Attachment _____ lists the SRRE and/or HHWE programs selected for implementation, but which have not yet been implemented, including a statement as to why they were not implemented.

   b. Nondisposal Facility Element (NDFE)
      ☒ There have been no changes in the use of nondisposal facilities (based on the current NDFEs and any amendments).

☐ Attachment _____ lists changes in the use of nondisposal facilities (based on the current NDFEs).

c. Countywide Siting Element (SE)
☒ There have been no changes to the information provided in the current SE.
☐ Attachment _____ lists changes to the information provided in the current SE.

d. Summary Plan
☒ There have been no changes to the information provided in the current SP.
☐ Attachment _____ lists changes to the information provided in the current SP.

2. Statement regarding whether Programs are Meeting their Goals
☒ The programs are meeting their goals.
☐ The programs are not meeting their goals. The discussion that follows in the analysis section below addresses the contingency measures that are being enacted to ensure compliance with PRC Section 41751 (i.e., specific steps are being taken by local agencies, acting independently and in concert, to achieve the purposes of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989) and whether the listed changes in program implementation necessitate a revision to one or more of the planning documents. _____

Analysis
☒ The aforementioned changes in program implementation do not warrant a revision to any of the planning documents. Specifically, _____.
☐ Changes in program implementation warrant a revision to one or more of the planning documents. Specifically, _____. The revision schedule(s) is included in Section 7.

Additional Analysis (optional)

Section 4.6 Changes in Available Markets for Recyclable Materials

The regional agency experienced changes in the following available markets for recyclable materials since the approval of the CIWMP or the last Five-Year RAIWMP Review Report (whichever is most recent):

Since 2011, the Authority has worked with Recology Del Norte to expand our recycling programs to include: paperboard and egg cartons, expanded polystyrene, aluminum foil, milk cartons, and plastics #1-#7. Many of these changes were made possible because of the changes in markets for separated recyclable materials.

Analysis
☒ There are no significant changes in available markets for recycled materials to warrant a revision to any of the planning documents. Specifically, _____.
☐ Changes in available markets for recycled materials warrant a revision to one or more of the planning documents. Specifically, _____. The revision schedule(s) is included in Section 7.

Additional Analysis (optional)

Section 4.7 Changes in the Implementation Schedule
The following addresses changes to the regional agency's implementation schedule that are not already addressed in Section 4.5 above:

Analysis
☒ There are no significant changes in the implementation schedule to warrant a revision to any of the planning documents. Specifically, _____.
☐ Changes in the implementation schedule warrant a revision to one or more of the planning documents. Specifically, _____.

Additional Analysis (optional)

Note: Consider for each jurisdiction within the county or regional agency the changes noted in sections 4.1 through 4.7 and explain whether. the changes necessitate revisions to any of the jurisdictions' planning documents.

SECTION 5.0 OTHER ISSUES OR SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (optional)
The following addresses any other significant issues/changes in the regional agency and whether these changes affect the adequacy of the RAIWMP to the extent that a revision to one or more of the planning documents is needed:

Analysis
_____

SECTION 6.0 ANNUAL REPORT REVIEW
☒ The Annual Reports for each jurisdiction in the regional agency have been reviewed, specifically those sections that address the adequacy of the RAIWMP elements. No jurisdictions reported the need to revise one or more of these planning documents.

☐ The Annual Reports for each jurisdiction in the county have been reviewed, specifically those sections that address the adequacy of the CIWMP or RAIWMP elements. The following jurisdictions reported the need to revise one or more of these planning documents, as listed.
_____

Analysis
The discussion below addresses the county's evaluation of the Annual Report data relating to planning document adequacy and includes determination regarding the need to revise one or more of the documents:
_____